

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD085990

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors;
Administrative/Operational Use; 09 SEP 1954.
Other requests shall be referred to Deputy Chief of Staff Research and Development, Department of the Air Force, Washington, DC 20330.

AUTHORITY

RAND ltr, 2 May 1967

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

A 185990

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

**Reproduced by
DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER
KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO**

This document is the property of the United States Government. It is furnished for the duration of the contract and shall be returned when no longer required, or upon recall by ASTIA to the following address: Armed Services Technical Information Agency, Document Service Center, Knott Building, Dayton 2, Ohio.

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NO. 85990
ASTIN FILE COPY

U.S. AIR FORCE
Project RAND FC
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

This is a working paper. It may be expanded, modified, or withdrawn at any time. The views, conclusions, and recommendations expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the U. S. Air Force.

The RAND Corporation
SANTA MONICA · CALIFORNIA

U. S. AIR FORCE
PROJECT RAND
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

ON THE COMPUTATIONAL SOLUTION
OF SOME FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS
IN THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

Richard Bellman

RM-1336

9 September 1954

Assigned to _____

ASTIA (1)

This is a working paper. It may be expanded, modified, or withdrawn at any time. The views, conclusions, and recommendations expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the United States Air Force.

The RAND Corporation

1700 MAIN ST. • SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA

Summary: It is shown that functional equations of the form $f(p) = \max_k T_k(f(p))$ which occur in the theory of optimal inventory and optimal allocation may be solved by very simple iterative processes under certain conditions.

ON THE COMPUTATIONAL SOLUTION OF SOME FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS
IN THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

Richard Bellman

§1. Introduction

The theory of dynamic programming gives rise to a general class of functional equations having the form

$$f(p) = \max_k T_k(f(p)) \quad (1.1)$$

where T_k is a transformation. In general, these equations cannot be resolved explicitly, and iterative techniques must be used to determine the solution.

Since the numerical evaluation of the maximum over k is, in general, quite onerous, it is desirable to have an alternative method of computation of successive approximation to the solutions.

We shall show how an alternative method can be obtained for two important classes of functional equations, those occurring in multi-stage allocation processes, an example of which is

$$f(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} [g(y) + h(x-y) + f(ay + b(x-y))] \quad (1.2)$$

and those occurring in optimal inventory theory, an example of which is

$$f(x) = \min_{y \geq x} \left[v(x, y) + \lambda [f(0)(1-h(y)) + \int_0^y f(y-s)h'(s)ds] \right] \quad (1.3)$$

2. Optimal Allocation

It is known (see [1], [2]) that if

- a. $0 < a, b < 1$
- b. $g(0) = h(0) = 0$ (2.1)
- c. $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are continuous in $[0, c]$

then the unique continuous solution to (1.2) in $[0, c]$ which is zero at $x = 0$ is obtained by starting with an arbitrary continuous function $f_0(x)$ zero at $x = 0$, and iterating

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= T(f_0) \\ f_2 &= T(f_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and so on, with $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$.

Let us now assume that in (1.2) the maximum is taken inside the interval $[0, x]$ for all $x > 0$, and, indeed, for each approximation in (2.2) above.

Then we have

$$f_{n+1}(x) = g(y_n) + h(x-y_n) + f_n(ay_n + b(x-y_n)) \quad (2.3)$$

where $y_n = y_n(x)$ is determined by the equation

$$0 = g'(y) - h'(x-y) + (a-b)f_n'(ay + b(x-y)) \quad (2.4)$$

Returning to (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1}'(x) &= [g'(y_n) - h'(x-y_n) + (a-b)f_n'(ay_n + b(x-y_n))] \frac{dy_n}{dx} \\ &\quad + h'(x-y_n) + bf_n'(ay_n + b(x-y_n)) \\ &= h'(x-y_n) + f_n'(ay_n + b(x-y_n)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4) we see that $f_0'(x)$ determines $y_0(x)$, while (2.5) shows that $y_0(x)$ and $f_0'(x)$ determine $f_1'(x)$, and so on, recurrently. From this it is clear that the important sequence is actually $\{f_n'(x)\}$, which may now be computed recurrently in very simple fashion.

Actually, we obtain more. If $f_0(x)$ agrees with the actual solution $f(x)$ in some interval $[0, c]$, $f_1(x)$ will agree in a larger interval, $f_2(x)$ in a still larger interval, and so on. Thus the actual solution may be obtained by recurrence.

53. Optimal Inventory

It is known (see [1], [4]) that if

a. $0 < \lambda < 1$

b. $h'(s) \geq 0, \int_0^{\infty} h'(s)ds = 1 \quad (3.1)$

c. $v(x, y)$ is continuous in any finite interval $0 \leq y \leq c$,

the solution of (1.3) may be obtained by iteration using the sequence

$$f_{n+1} = T(f_n) \quad (3.2)$$

Let us assume again that the minimum is always taken inside.

Then

$$f_{n+1}(x) = v(x, y_n) + \lambda [f_n(0)(1-h(y_n)) + \int_0^{y_n} f_n(y_n-s)h'(s)ds] \quad (3.3)$$

where y_n is determined by the equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y_n) + \int_0^y f'_n(y-s)h'(s)ds = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

Returning to (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{n+1}(x) &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y_n) \\ &+ \frac{dy_n}{dx} [g'(y_n-x) + \lambda \int_0^{y_n} f'_n(y_n-s)h'(s)ds] \quad (3.5) \\ &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

This again furnishes a very simple computational algorithm for determining y_0 given f'_0 and then f'_1 given y_0 and f'_0 , and so on.

§4. How to Obtain Internal Extrema

To insure that the minimum in (3.2) is attained inside, we replace $g(y-x)$ by $g(y-x) - \epsilon\sqrt{y-x}$ (or some similar function) where ϵ is small. Then the derivative at $y = x$ is $-\infty$, showing that the minimum cannot be at $y = x$.

Similarly in (2.2), we replace $g(y)$ by $g(y) + \epsilon\sqrt{y}$ and $h(x-y)$ by $h(x-y) + \epsilon\sqrt{x-y}$.

It is easily seen that for small ϵ these changes affect the solution by a small quantity proportional to ϵ , and consequently have no material influence.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Bellman, R., An Introduction to the Theory of Dynamic Programming, The RAND Corporation Report No. R-245, June 1953.
2. ———, "Some Problems in the Theory of Dynamic Programming," Econometrica, Vol. 22, No. 1 (January 1954), pp. 37-48.
3. ———, A Survey of the Mathematical Theory of Time Lag, Retarded Control, and Hereditary Processes, The RAND Corporation Report No. R-256, April 1954.
4. Dvoretzky, A. J., J. Kiefer, and J. Wolfowitz, "The Inventory Problem—I: Case of Known Distributions of Demand," and "The Inventory Problem—II: Case of Unknown Distributions of Demand," Econometrica, Vol. 20, No. 2, April 1952, pp. 187-222.